Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

I am relieved to see that no libraries are being closed and support that policy . However I feel that cutting hours and reducing staff so that they are thinly spread and unable to undertake all the numerous activities that they undertake now followed by going digital and making our libraries self service ,will have the same effect negative effect as closure .
- Reducing weekday morning opening hours is a practical way to keep all libraries open
- uses of one/two Beds as social housing - self service for sexual health
N/A
• We, the Friends of Muswell Hill LIbrary, believe the budget proposals, as set out, will cause untold, reckless, and irreparable damage both in the short and longer term. This will harm not just libraries but have a knock-effect of ever-increasing costs to other areas such as social care, children services, property and hotel accommodation, employment services, and education and support for the disadvantaged. • Academic studies, and using their EVOLS tool, show that if Haringey spend £4.24m on libraries, the return on investment is at least £25.445m. This and other studies demonstrate why cuts to libraries do not typically make any sense to the borough overall. The significant additional costs in other areas from loss these benefits should be planned into budgets for other departments if the libraries proposed budget cuts were to be implemented. They will also cause significant problems for the council long term. • Although we support proposals that help Haringey improve the management of its finances and prevent the Council going into special measures, we believe the short-term way they are being proposed to be introduced will cause serious and untold short- and long-term damage to residents and increased social isolation which may not be reversible. • We also note there are other budgets, beyond libraries, such as property management and utilities heating, ICT etc., where more careful stewardship could result in considerable savings, and these are areas that should be examined first before libraries. • Rather than have cuts imposed on us, we'd prefer and welcome the opportunity to work with the Council on developing proposals which meet the needs of our local community. We propose this rather than being required at very short notice, over a holiday period to respond to proposals from the Council into which we have had no input. We are very surprised and disappointed that these are being proposed without sufficient consultation with the Friends groups.
517 - subject to scrutiny of details, would seem to be more likely to benefit service users S10 - makes better sense, though what provision would be provided for treatments for testing and treatment of, say, gonorrhoea or syphilis? S11 - seems to imply that increase in cost would be met out of ICB budget rather than council budget? 519 to 521 - in principle ok (given the austere constraints placed on council budget), though with 40% reduction in staff how are those less digitally able to be helped access Pressreader and other services? G7 - Fusion chaos should never have been allowed to continue for so long - ensure regular scrutiny and oversight.
519 reduce library hours : Have them open 2 days noon-7 then 4 days 3pm >7, then people can go after work. Libraries are outdated. It's hard to find the books I want. When I find them it turns out they have been stolen. If I order them, they take 6 months to come. I prefer to buy my books online 2nd hand. 521 end provision of mags and newspapers: Sure, they are online now. 525 review fees and charges YES make SUVS pay more. Fine people £100 for parking in the bike lane. Give citizens (me) the device to photograph people incorrectly parked, and I will issue fines. Specially

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

for SUVS & bike-lane miscreants. ALSO I like LTNs but they are not mentioned here.
525 -review of fees and charges. The charges in the borough are beginning to prevent people from shopping and going out . They are excessive and punitive for drivers.
Access to grants - futureproofing and building longevity of communities preventative rather then reactive spending
Accessing Gov Grants
Additional support to Ally Pally - great local amenity close to my home. retention of all libraries - these are essential to people. Increase in temporary housing for homeless families
Adjusting library hours and expanding the user groups during the period that the library is not being used by readers could be a good use of the resources.
Adult health and children's family. Unfortunately many adults health facilities have closed down in many areas with less Sen people having Acess to support once the rach age 18-25 and onwards. And families in the are are seeing less and less facilities where they can join together and support each other. Less affordable nurseries and play groups especially in the Hornsey Area we don't even have a children's centre.
all except the Library cuts and changes
Anything increasing pastoral and mental health support for 10-18 year olds. This generation are suffering long and short term damage through the unknown (at the time) effects of COVID.
Anything involving replacing Fusion. Ideally with a Better leisure centre.
As in many of the consultations I do not believe hat there is clarity in the setting out of the information for the general public !
Bringing Council responsibilities back in-house instead of wasting money on organisations like Homes for Haringey and Fusion which have consistently failed to deliver that which they charged for. Where was the oversight for these contracts?
Bringing in house of the leisure centres
Bringing mental health support in-house - seems like a good idea, if it works better for people who need support. Sexual health services online - this also seems like a good idea, if it's what people prefer to use and reduces costs. Enhancing tackling of environmental crime - very much needed, and can use communities to help Continuing with school streets (and low traffic neighbourhoods, hopefully!) - I've really appreciated the difference in traffic as a result of these initiatives and i hope the council is able to stick with these changes and not bow down to pressure from people who don't want to change their behaviour to benefit others
Bringing temporary accommodation and leisure into house. A lot of people got rich with substandard service
bringing temporary housing - in house / owned and run by Haringey Council Stop the rip off extortionate hostel weekly fees
Budget balancing is tricky i'll leave it to the pro's
C4 S10
Continuation of School Streets programme - reduced car use is so important in terms of pedestrian safety and air quality
Continuation of school streets programme - this is vital for keeping children and families safe and reducing poluution-related illness. I also support the enhancement of enforcement of environmental

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

crime. Fly-tipping is a real issue around my home and I would like to see it addressed more proactively.
Continuation of the schools street programme.
Coombs Croft Library N17
Cutting some costs in libraries so that there is a little more for social services etc
E3, 35 36, 37,39,E11, G12 Legal and apprenticeships help offer better opportunities to less privileged residents. As a user of Tottenham Green, L/Centre any change is improvement
Ending provision of hardcopy magazines and newspapers in libraries, because the information can still be accessed online as long as libraries are still well staffed. Increase in advertising space portfolio, because although I don't like advertising, it is a way of drawing in income to protect key services. Continuation of Schools Streets Programme, because it benefits children, parents, the environment and also brings in some money through fees. Anything that will fundamentally improve mental health provision in the Borough.
Enforcement of Housing act breaches
Finding more efficient ways of working with providers in integrated public health for children.
Firstly I am concerned as to whether the Council's consultation is legitimate, in that is over the Christmas and New Year holiday period calls into question whether the terms of the statutory guidance on public consultation - there has not been enough time to consider all of the proposals. I am pleased that the council does not plan to close any library building at the moment (S19), however, that is not the same as stating that "it will keep all libraries open".
Focus on adult social care because my 24yo son is severely disabled and will need Haringey's support for life.
Funding to support decarbonisation and achieving climate change targets
G11 to provide support to families. Cost of living remains high and support is needed. For workers the current inflation has an impact on revenue for families.
G12 STROUD GREEN is our community hub!!!
G12, G11 Important services that shouldn't be short of cash.
G12/G11
G7 Running the Leisure service in-house and taking over from Fusion Lifestyle. S26 Schools Streets Programme S27Enhancement of enforcement on environmental crime The question of Libraries is of significance to me. I am pleased that no libraries are planned for closure but I do feel that the future plans for the libraries are putting these commuity hubs at risk of becoming entirely different entities. I am concerned that the intervention of machines and digital technologies will dimish the presence of staff which is precisely what makes the libraries appealing.They are a place carefully held together by the people who work in them. The environments created are usually gentle, supportive, kind and community bonding. Self-service machines, CCTV, reduction in staff hours will erode the community aspect of libraries.
Generally I think the proposals are sound given the financial challenges facing he council and the high levels of need for social care, supporting children and families and houing issues
Getting rid of fusion in our leisure centres but again running them in house isn't the answer.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

Glad trying to address issues with cost of living
GRANTS
Haringey libraries are my lifeline
Haringey Libraries because it gives people the potential to learn and other common causes of issues further develop and achievement
Having looked at the two documents listed, I can only comment 'what a turn off!' Written in corporate speak and difficult for the non-Council suit to follow ..... I generally understand and support the Council's need to cut costs. I was particularly interested in the proposals concerning Libraries. Highgate library has been closed for most of the past year and I would be sorry to see reduced opening hours as the library is much more than just a book lending service.
Housing for homeless
Housing Education
I agree to the proposed increase in council tax and adding the 2% levy
I agree with the proposals to look at changing the library opening hours to suit the need of every library.
I am glad no libraries are being closed. I support self service technology but in the right ways.
I am glad no libraries are shutting entirely but saddened by the rest of your proposals.
I am glad that no libraries are ‘planned for closure’ but cutting staff, standards and installing self service with CCTV is not something I support.
I am glad that no libraries are closing but I don’t agree with cutting staff or opening hours and replacing with self checkout
I am glad that no libraries are plan for closure but I have concerns that cutting many elements of library service might leading to closures in the future?
I am glad that no libraries are 'planned for closure' but cutting standards, cutting hours, cutting staff, & installing self-service with CCTV will be the same in the end anyway...
I am glad that no libraries are 'planned for closure' but to be honest, cutting standards, cutting hours, cutting staff, & installing self-service with CCTV will be the same in the end anyway...
I am glad that no libraries are 'planned for closure' but to be honest, cutting standards, cutting hours, cutting staff, & installing self-service with CCTV will be the same in the end anyway...
I am glad that no libraries are 'planned for closure' but to be honest, cutting standards, cutting hours, cutting staff, & installing self-service with CCTV will be the same in the end anyway...
I am glad that no libraries are planned for closure. But I do have strong concerns that cutting standards, hours and staff, as well as the move to self-service with CCTV will be the same in the end.
I am glad there are not actually any plans to close any libraries.
I am glads that no libraries are planned for closure because we use them daily.
I am happy to see our local library will not be closed but worry the cut in staff will have a huge impact on the community feel and safety of the facility
I am not familiar enough with most of the areas covered to say whether I support them or not.
I am not informed enough to comment
I am not sure really, I find the documentation quite hard to digest.
I am relieved that no libraries are ‘planned to close’ but in all honesty, cutting staff, cutting hours, cutting standards and installing cctv for self check out is kind of the same in the end.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

I appreciate that it is very difficult set a budget when there are bound to be cuts. Personally I only know about the excellent Veolia waste collection service, and libraries.
I appreciate that the council is at the mercy of central government and is required to make budget cuts. My hope is that these will be made in such a way as to mitigate their long-term destructive effects, leaving open the possibility of recovery and improvement of services if and when better times return. As my special interest is public libraries, I accept that some cuts to library opening hours will be necessary.
I appreciate the council's efforts to improve social care
I can support an increase in my Council Tax provided it is clear what the additional monies will be spent on to benefit local residents.
I cease and improve social housing and ensure vulnerable child and adults are supported and protected.
I do not support any cuts to our Library Services.
I do not support any of the proposal to cut on libraries
I do not support any proposals which reduce the availability of essential services to the most vulnerable in the community (see below).
I do not support closing libraries and cutting back on already limited access to public toilet spaces
I do not support cutting the library budget. This is absolutely essential. To provide education of an important kind, to provide stimulations for health in older people.
I do not support the 31% cut and the outcome of this funding cut.
I do not support the budget cuts to the library .
I do not support the close of the library at Harringay station
I do not support the idea of a self service library. This feels unsafe. The staff are so caring and the make the library for our kids
I do not support the proposal to cut opening hours of branch libraries, and I categorically oppose the insulting proposal (which is proven to have failed, predictably, as a "viable model" in other boroughs) to use volunteers as guides instead of professional librarians; to have unmanned desks and a self-service model (no toilets available, CCTV instead of a manned building that is safe to use and practical i.e. there are trained professionals answering queries; whatever happened to inclusivity, BAME targets, making publicly funded services accessible to everyone!), and to make library spaces available "to other organisations" whilst hollowing out the primary function of these spaces as... libraries.
I do not support the proposals for cutting library services and would like to see a more detailed and clearer analysis of the proposal to bring leisure services back in house.
I do not want any cut in the library services. This will be dreadful for those with less income. I do not understand why both Muswell Hill and Highgate libraries are closed at the same time. It might be a good idea to replace Fusion with Better. I swim in pools run by both organisations and Better is much Better and Fusion is hopeless
I DO NOT WISH TO COMMENT ON ALL PROPOSALS
I do support anything which will improve the join up between health and social care, specifically mention at S11 of CHC funding. (Experience and knowledge of this in the past indicates that it badly needs overhaul and improvement.)
I do support the ending of the contract with Fusion to run the leisure centres, which have become unusable in the last few years. Whether that should be by making them council run, or by changing to a new provider isn't something I feel strongly about.
I don't support any of the proposals. Libraries are an essential part of every community.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

I don’t support anything that relates to cut in library services
I dont
I don't have any comments to make regarding housing, but I support work to develop mental health needs in the borough.
I don't know what the proposals are
I don't support any new proposal my kids love it here and so do i
I don't support any proposal
I have only just been alerted to this consultation because I am on the mailing list of Muswell Hill library. I have not received any information from the Council on this consultation before this nor any invites to meetings where these proposals are explained. I have talked to other residents and very few - almost none in fact - are aware of this consulatation. It therefore feels to me that the consultation is a bit of a sham and I have no idea how any views that I express here will influence the process of reaching budgets.
I haven't seen any proposals
I know it's a tough time. There's some understandable proposals around accomodation. I also understand some of the need to invest in support services outlined in the growth area.
I propose that library stops open in the community of Tottenham because it helps the people to meet and interact, engage in social activities especially if there are classes for children.
I strongly oppose any cuts to library facilities and services.
I support ALL services that prioritise needy local British residents.
I support bringing mental health services back in house, improving supported living and linking up with voluntary support services that may be available in the community and improving information.
I support increasing evening hours in the libraries (S19)
I support later closing times for libraries in evenings. Strengthening supported living. Available accommodation for those in temp housing.
I support many, including the provision of adult social care, but in particular bringing back the running of Tottenham Green Leisure Centre in house. It's disgraceful how long Fusion, clearly in breach of contract, have been allowed to let standards slide to 'appalling', & spend money unwisely without addressing basic needs - e.g. secure lockers for the swimming pools.
I support more social housing for Haringey residents. Less corporate developments and so called affordable housing does not enable less well off to attain housing.
I support Nos 52 - 58 as constructive ways of dealing with housing given the circumstances.
I support proposal S2 as this will help families facing homelessness, S6 also seems sensible to reduce time spent in temporary accommodation. S11 is a good idea to maximise healthcare support from central government S24 - increasing advertising is a good idea to get more income S26 - I support continuing the School Streets programme.
I support proposals to benefit homeless families & towards supported living. Ending mag and newspapers in libraries is fine with me.
I support replacing hard copies of newspapers/magazines with Pressreader. I support use of Digital technology in libraries, but please keep the current staff numbers, as staff support customers and explain and trouble-shoot the technology, and support users at public pcs, and with printing, photocopying, scanning, and with digital access and provide individual IT support: logging on, accessing emails, locating websites, completing online forms, support with MS Office, printing, trouble-shooting, especially with elderly residents, and non-English speaking residents, who now need to use IT for Council services.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

I support spaces for the young, those with special needs, the old, and the vulnerable. Specifically in space is like the stroud green library.
I SUPPORT THAT NO LIBRARIES WILL CLOSE BUT THIS IS STRANGLING THEM SO THAT THEY WITHER.
I support that the libraries are not closing, but fear that proposed reduction in services will amount to the same disappointment
I support the 3% rise in Council Tax on the whole it's value for money - especially compared to overall tax environment
I support the attempt to optimise use of public funding, considering the deficit. I am happy to contribute more to Council Tax, a rise in council tax will disproportionately affects those with the lowest income. I am glad that you are introducing a Council Tax Reduction Scheme (CTRS) to help those most in need. S19 I am glad that at this stage there is no plan to close public libraries, but I am extremely concerned about the reference to cutting staff and opening hours (see below)
I support the decision not to close any library buildings as they are the only community buildings which people can access free of charge. As such, they are an important resource for young people in developing a love of reading and literacy, one of the few remaining free spaces parents can bring children, a warm and safe space for the growing elderly population, and a place for adults to access internet and learning. But the wider provision of staff is what makes the building a library. I support the savings by no longer stocking physical newspapers.
I support the housing proposals including getting more housing built in the borough.
I support the introduction of more technology to help existing library staff focus on more resident related/ resident facing tasks - not simply as a way to cut staffing costs.
I support the majority of the proposals, particularly for growth. Those on savings I do not support are listed below
I support the need for fully costed options on who takes over Haringey's leisure centres.
I support the new Transitions Service for SEND youth and the enhancement of enforcement for environmental crime. I'm also glad you state no libraries are planned for closure, but I am very worried about cutting their opening hours and librarians.
I support the proposal that Haringey replaces Fusion with a well run management company to run Leisure Centres. Some income may come from this.
I support the proposal to manage the budget appropriately to ensure the Council is not put under special measures. However it is inappropriate to give such a short time for responses to detailed and far-reaching proposals,especially over a holiday period.
I support the proposals to use local authority housing for temporary accommodation for families requiring emergency accommodation even though the housing that is available is one bed or two bed only.
I support the proposed 2.99% increase in council tax and adding the 2% levy to support Adult services.
I support the removal of hard-copy magazines and newspapers as long as they digital subscriptions are maintained. It is an unnecessary use of paper when the same information is available on library computers. Allowing other organisations to use the library out of hours is a good idea.
I support the review into Mental Health Services. I am concerned about the increase in using private companies in mental health care particularly after reading this FT article on the costly and controversial practice of outsourcing care : https://on.ft.com/3OpGBrx I think this is a huge step-in the way of redressing this situation.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

I support the spending on Adult and Children's services - statutory services.
I support the taking over of Park Road pools and fitness - Fusion has made that place a terrible mess and it's so important for young people not to mention all of society to keep their bodies active.
I support the various improvements in support of lower income residents in the context of austerity imposed by central government over recent years. I also support measures to assist young people, and particularly those with SEND needs.
I suppose we should all support not closing Haringey's wonderful libraries - the question is, under your proposals, what will we have left?
I think this is the wrong question. It is too hard for most to answer as there is a lack of knowledge of the issues
I understand the acute financial pressure facing the Borough, and I support raising council tax and reviewing parking and other charges. I also support the continued work on School Streets, and the plans for greater enforcement of environmental laws.
I understand the difficult financial situation councils, including Haringey, face and the need to make difficult decisions. I understand the decision to raise council tax and appreciate it could have been a bigger proposed rise. I think the Council Tax Reduction Scheme is a good idea if those who could benefit are aware of of it. I support proposals to provide or enhance social care although I do not find it easy to understand the details as presented in the consultation document.
I was happy to read that the contract with Fusion has been terminated. I do hope the costs of running it in house will be offset by increased membership. I for one will be happy to join again & sign up my children to swim school (we had to give up because of unclean facilities & unpredictable service)
I will support 1.5% increase of Council tax not 2.99% Some Council Tax payers are wrongly accessed financially. Some elderly people still living with their partners are financially accessed together. When their joint income is over £16000 per year. They get no benefit.
I would support the variety of investments in improving housing provision, and for SEN service enhancement. These are key life chance areas.
I(support proposals S8 to S17 and S27 and S29. However my experience of your adult service care management is that you are often wasting money on ineffective care because social work intervention is limited to the annual review. You should be prepared to commission higher grade services from specialist agencies (viz. hoarding support) to lower the risk of higher costs nand more disruoptive interventions further down the line. I also support S27 and S29.
I'm glad that no libraries are planned for closure, but to be honest, cutting standards, cutting hours, cutting staff and installing self service with CCTV. Security will be the same in the end anyway.
I'm glad that you're not planning to close any libraries (but am deeply worried about the cuts you are planning to our library services)
I'm glad the libraries are staying open but not at all happy at the reduction of hours etc.
I'm glad the library will remain open but cutting standards, cutting hours & staff & self service will effectively mean the decimation of the library
I'm pleased that no libraries will be closed but the suggested measures with budget cuts are just as bad (self-service, cutting down opening times, no staff etc)
Identifying a new site for modular lodge provision. Need to cut the cost of nightly paid accommodation and private bed + breakfast.
Identifying new sites for modular lodge provision - seems like a sensible way to better support people and make savings. Review into Mental Health Services to bring them back in house - this would presumably cut unnecessary outsourcing costs. Enhancement of enforcement on environmental crime - this would bring income for the borough and support its environmental objectives. Further investment in Pendarren House - as a Haringey child, I benefitted hugely from visiting Pendarren and am very glad that further investments are being proposed so that future generations can do the

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

same. Loan to Alexander Palace - Alexander Palace has seemed to have huge success since the reopening of cultural activities and theatre in the last few years, and a loan would help them to continue this.
Identifying new sites for modular lodge provision - seems like a sensible way to better support people and make savings. Review into Mental Health Services to bring them back in house - this would presumably cut unnecessary outsourcing costs. Enhancement of enforcement on environmental crime - this would bring income for the borough and support its environmental objectives. Further investment in Pendarren House. Loan to Alexander Palace - Alexander Palace has seemed to have huge success since the reopening of cultural activities and theatre in the last few years, and a loan would help them to continue this.
-Identifying suitable accommodations for those in temporary housing. -Use of one bed social housing as temporary accommodations for families with a baby or young children. -Use of two bed social housing as temporary accommodation for families.
I'm against cuts to libraries.
I'm relieved no closure of libraries is planned but I worry that the current plans will have severe detrimental effect on provision of such an important service
I'm replying about Library proposals
Im very relieved that no libraries are planned for closure but honestly cutting standards, cutting hours and staff and spending on CCTV etc will end up being the same cost.
Improving IT systems
improving mental health services. Housing for those in need.
Improving the quality of mental health support for adults
In general I support the proposal for the libraries and I am very pleased that no library building will be closed. I also support ending the provision of hardcopy magazines and newspapers in libraries and the introduction of self-service technologies.
In general I undersand the need to make savings.
In general the proposals seem sensible and unavoidable but I'm deeply saddened by reduction in library staff S19, S20. I have to queue at the desk now to get simple requests answered. We are so blessed having good libraries now. W are the envy of other London residents in Barnet etc I would fight tooth and nail to preserve staffing & opening hours in our libraries, while earning some money by small rental on meeting rooms, more exercise classes in libraries, in evening etc etc.
In house social housing could be good
In particular C3 to support infrastructure of Primary Schools and C5 - increased investment in leisure services. From my own experience as a governor who keeps interest in the educational and other support services for our young people it is clear that post Covid the support given to young people needs to increase.
Increase in £ for adult social care because its a growing urgent need. Focus on SEND, housing and environmental crime. and support for mental health services - All urgent
Increase in advertising space portfolio. If this is done sensitively it could generate additional income with minimal impact.
Increase in apprentiship levy This works in line with inflation so good.
Increase in parking fines
Increase in social housing.
Increase of self service and pharmacy options across sexual health. It is vital for the health of our community and an investment in the lives of young people especially.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

Increased budget and more funding for residents by increasing taxes, especially business/corporate taxes
Increased council tax with CTRS being closely monitored.
Increased funding for adult and children's social care and capital investment in primary and secondary schools, pendarren house, Parks and Alexandra Palace
Increased funding for adult social care is a must to ensure that vulnerable adults are supported well. Investment to assist access to continuing care payments.
Increased parking charges. We would favour increased parking charges based on the growth in heavier and larger vehicles (SUVs), as these will create more wear and tear on the roads, take up more space and, unless electric, emit more carbon dioxide. Vehicle weight and size data can be taken from the DVLA website so it is an easy matrix to base parking charges on. Any income from this has to spent on transport-related activities so could be used for street trees, SUDs, cycle routes, pavement improvements etc.
Increasing School Streets Increasing Waste/environmental Crime fines Variation of library opening hours
Inhousing leisure centres - as long as they are better run + more affordable to all Greater school investment
Insourcing leisure centres - this represents poor value compared to outsourcing with a competent provider.
Installing speed cameras in Priory Road to reduce dangerous speeding.
Investment in schools and relieved that libraries are not being closed down.
Investment into public infrastructure including libraries
Investments in adult social care.
Investments in Children's services and inflation increases in adults social care costs because it's important that we look after the vulnerable in our community.
It is very hard for me to say which proposals to support because there are a number of implicit proposals that do not surface. For example, implicit proposals NOT to cut areas where, unknown to us, savings might have been possible. I cannot be at all sure that the proposed cuts really are the ONLY possible cuts.
It might have been useful if the Council had linked this consultation to the document which actually detailed the budget cuts. (Perhaps too much to ask...?)
It’s great that the libraries aren’t closing but a library is not really fit for purpose without librarians.
It's good that no libraries are planned for closure.
It's reassuring to know that Stroud Green Library will remain open, but I won't continue using the services if staff is replaced by self-service, as I would consider it effectively closed
Keen to see the back of Fusion / Better at Park Rd Pools and back to leisure facilities being run well.
Keep libraries funded and early years programme going. Keep toilets open
Keep the Libraries OPEN in Haringey
Keeping libraries open as it will benefit people in need, keep activities as it helps the brain active to read often. Children and youth activites happen here at libraries
Keeping Park rd lido open and changing management from Fusion leisure
Keeping the hours of the local libraries
Keeping the libraries open and fully staffed
Keeping the library open and free at the point of use. Staff rather than volunteers.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

Libraries
Libraries are crucial to the wellbeing and education of a community. I depend very much upon having access to libraries. My two closest libraries on Shepherds Hill and Muswell Hill have been closed for a long period of time and I miss them greatly. They also offer a warm space, companionship and way of sharing information.
Libraries are one of the few community resources left. People gain access to a wide range of literature, magazines and newspapers that could be outside their everyday budgets. People depend on the expert help and advice of the librarians who work there. If the librarians are replaced by self-service machines you will limit access to books and other materials to those members of the public who are able to use technology easily. Volunteers may cut the budget but will not compensate for the loss of experience and expertise of the professional librarians. Find savings elsewhere but leave our libraries alone.
Libraries as it's local and working creative groups which gives a chance to meet other people and learn new skills. e.g. knitting, sewing, piano lessons
Libraries should be well stocked and staffed
Libraries. In North America libraries are opening, not closing. In European countries, libraries are maintained. Here, Wood Green Central has been turned into something that is not a library and you want to cut libraries back. From Ancient Greece onwards, libraries are sacrosanct to civilization. If you just want functionality alone, tear down Wood Green Court in favour of insulated nissan huts. They will be cheaper to maintain than the wonderful heritage building we have.
Library Budget Consultation
Library closures and shorter hours
Maintaining library services
Maintaining the libraries in Haringey. These are a great resource for all ages. Acces to books toys, IT, and meeting others at classes etc.
Modular lodge provision as it saves money S9 Very important to have fully integrated health services for children S19 OK to have change of library hours - so long as based on demand S20 self service tech in libraries Ok so long as a staff member also on hand to help customers who are not techie S26 School streets is good, but more walking and cycling to be promoted and less parents driving cars. S27 much more enforcement of environmental crimes - ie dumping, littering etc C8 and C9 Parks are very important, and need to be well maintained and promoted C21 It is OK to invest in Aly Paly but all its various attractions and uses must be promoted properly
More affordable housing because of homelessness
more effort in housing and support work
More Help for the Homeless. More realistically affordable homes for people. Sexual health
Most of the savings proposals (eg S1-S18) seem to be for minor improvements in efficiency rather than cuts. I would expect these to be made as a matter of routine.
N/A
N/a
N/a
N/A
N/A

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

N/A
n/a
N/A
n/a
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
N/A
NA
Na
NA
New River sports centre operating costs to help support customer base equipment
New Transition Service - vital, but the services for adults must be there (currently they are lacking). Conversion of council held leases to Homes for Haringey - logical. Increase of self service and pharmacy options across sexual health - good evidence base. Increasing the proportion of 'Continuing Healthcare' funding within Adult Social Care - fingers crossed that the local ICB supports this into the future. Strengthening the supported living offer in Haringey - not sure from this thin explanation but support in principle.
New Translation service School Streets Programme
Nil
No comment
No cuts please!
No cuts to library
no cuts top the library service as it is not fair and it is access to the education councillors should take a pay cut no cuts
No library closure nor reduced hours
No opinion on most of them
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None
None

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

None
None
None
None
None
None (-- not more library cuts. Libraries have already been cut. Staff at Hornsey Library are already dismayed by conditions there -- this is first-hand information.)
None - councils should not be cutting services, especially to libraries which are essential hubs of learning for those who can't buy books. (I know this comes from central government - but I can't agree to any cuts to services in principle.
none - i am particularly disappointed in the library budget cuts. while they are not being closed down, they will not be the same without support and staffing and proper hours, and i can only see this as a precursor them being sold off to compensate for the desultory support from central government.
None - I don't know why you bother to carry out this ridiculous exercise as all residents know that the council (a) has already made their decisions regardless (b) the council NEVER takes any notice of any feedback from council tax paying residents as can be noted in all the CPZ proposals, despite massive criticism of this policy, the Council (as usual) steamed ahead with them as they are seen as a cash cow, penalising already taxed residents.
None - leave libraries out of your budget cuts
None of the proposals.
None particularly although I appreciate driving needs of budget cuts
None we should cut government expending and keep it only essential services ensuring high quality and effectiveness of them.
None, as a speech and language therapist i have seen the impact of services closing from COVID. Cutting library services is short sighted and will be to the detriment of future of generations causing other costs to increase.
None, because the money Haringey is making cannot be seeing on Residents. We have to many unrepair works pending, no staff or contractors to do the jobs.
None. Libraries are an important public resource providing space to study, access to reading and reference materials to all residents of all ages and we should continue to have access to them.
None. The allocation of funding is wrong
Not applicable.
Not closing libararies is laudable, but this a smokescreen for policies that wil lead to terminal decline.
Not closing libraries.
Not cutting the library budget
Not sure
Opening youth spaces for children and young person in the borough
Other organisation will use space in the library. This will generate funds - users will have to swipe in with their cards.
Our needs for a human beings, mental and physical health - clean environment - interaction with other human beings, exercise, mental stimuli, comfort/warmth, food , shelter, open spaces, parks, walkways, eg disused railway line, seating areas, planting trees/beds clean air, e.g. good transport system - buses to cut down on private cars
Overall effort to increase efficiency and targeting

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

Parks and recreation needs more money Parking does not need extra the streets have sufficient money the fines picked up should be able to support this alone
Pendarren house - I am shocked to see how much that Haringey claims it spends. It has been neglected over the years and has been shunted around different departments and only still exists because it wouldn't produce a lot of revenue if sold.
Please can more be spent on sustainable greener transport that is safe for all to use.
Please don't close Highgate library! I am 89 and it's thevonly one i can get to. Regards James Smith
Please keep our libraries open - they are so important.
Please make sure there's enough budget for the library, me and my children really rely on the Wood Green library services to get books and other materials!
please support the libraries as this is important for books and toys for children and also access to computers for adults too
PROPOSAL'S SUPPORT IS OUTLINED IN MORE DEPTH ONLINE
Raise in council tax
Raising funds though enforcement of school streets and environmental crime.
re libaries: cut in provision of hard copy magazines and newspapers is acceptable only if addditional provision is made in libraries for free use of computers to give library users access to news and articles in specialist magazines. This would require staffing of libraries to support users in assisting in computer skills.
Refocusing library spending. This seems an entirely sensible and proportionate response to the challenges faced
Removing hard copy newspaper and magazines in libraries. Increased transition support for children
Review of contracts held by digital and change - seems sensible. Ending provision of hard copy magazines. Increasing advertising space for revenue (as long as advertisers are appropriate eg healthy living) School streets program - safer streets and cleaner air near schools Housing Act enforcement - clamp down on infringements and poor quality housing that exploits tenants Enforcement of environmental crime - improved environment Delivering leisure management service in house - it will be great to see these centres well run again
Review of fees and charges but this ought to extend to areas where ilegal parking is widely overlooked (i.e on the pavement by the Sainsburys in Horsey all day, Malvern Road) and not to continue targeting random users in specific roads. Extend restriction areas nearby Hornsey Train station where residents can't barely park.
Review subsidy of New River Sports Centre cancel Haringey Magazine - its a waste of money.
S 27. The streets are full of litter, so more people to clear in and fines for fly tipping are to be welcomed
S1 - New transition service. This is great news, and this service is very necessary. Thank you. S12 - increasing direct payments is good; BUT families need provision (services and personnel) on which to spend it - this is sorely lacking. S15 - improving supported living - much needed.

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

S1 - Send - new transitions service (not before time, terrible service before) S2-S8 - Housing - Reducing hotel and private bed and breakfast accommodation - much better for families. increasing social care funding
S1 I agree with any support for young people with mental health issues so that they can lead as independent lives as possible.
S1 New Transition Service, - promotes independence in SEND youth S3 Use of two bed social housing as temporary accommodation
S1 New Transitions service - all positive things S13/S14/S21
S1, S4, S5, S6, S9, S10, S11
S1/S6 support for SEND young people to prepare them for independent lives and supporting residents to move successfully from temporary accommodation to more permanent homes.
S10 I am sure some people prefer to deal with sexual health online or with local pharmacies. S27 I think it's important for each individual to take responsibility for their affect on the environment and heavy fines may help. S28 I believe it is worthwhile improving energy usage through technology.
S10,11,12,16
S11 Increased funding is needed for Adult Social Care.
S11 This should have been done years ago. Provide dedicated trained staff who know the law and how to argue for the rights go service users to Continuing Health Care.
S13 S26
s15, s18, s20, s21, s26, s27, s29, G7, s1, s2, S4, S5
s15/s18 Because i have family and my daily life community centre and library help me a lot. With my baby i can come to the library anytime.
S16 - this proposal will save money
S16- There needs to be better links between voluntary sector and council provision. S12 - People like to have control over how money is spent on care, so direct payments are a good idea. S26 - Anything to reduce car traffic around schools is a good idea.
S17 - Bringing services in house might be the best way to serve the community
S1-7 - directs investment to critical policies and programmes targeted at vulnerable groups & aims to tackle inequalities S9-18 directs investment to critical policies and programmes to improve the health and care of people in Haringey, including tackling health inequalities S22-24 - likely to generate increased efficiencies S26-29 directs investment to policies and programmes to improve Haringey's environmental issues
s17, s15 mental health services need as much as support as possible, hopefully bringing the services in house will help and be cost effective supported living to competent for those in need and their families.
S17, S8
S18 Redirect funding back to Adult Social Care
S19 - good to maximise use/value of public spaces
S19 - Is sensible, based on demand and demographics. Could be a better use of resources available S12 S15

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

S19 - librairies should be open when people need them. It's always closed after work for me S27 - our area could do with more cleanup of fly tipping Re-localizing mental health services. If the expenditure is higher, then that means more investment is necessary.
S19 - Makes sense to close in mornings and share with other services S20 - Already happens at Coombs Croft
S19 - no stuff cuts and built around community needs.
S19 - open libraries later in the day, but keep each branch open a minimum of 40 hours per week S21 - Pressreader is excellent, cheaper and environmentally friendly alternative to physical papers
S19 - Strongly support keeping all libraries open, facilitated by appropriate amendments to opening hours. The community can adapt to new hours, safe in the knowledge that their precious libraries are remaining open. The use of the libraries building stock for additional community-focussed activities during revised opening times and at other times reinforces their position and taps in to their potential within local communities, whether it is facilities for mothers and children, classes and other educational train and voluntary service offers It is vital that none of Haringey's libraries are closed and it is so important that Haringey stick to the maxim set out that 'No library building would be closed.' S21 - Self-service technology has been successfully introduced in libraries that we regularly use - Stroud Green & Harringay and Hornsey, and staff are on hand to help any library user who is physically unable to use the process or unfamiliar with the process. The retention of full time library staff is strongly supported, especially as agency staff are being drafted in due to apparently low take-up when job vacancies occur. S27 - It is crucial that environmental enforcement is stepped up with equivalent budgeting for enforcement and community education on these matters, so that there is a clampdown on fly-tipping, incorrect use of domestic bins, and general 'couldn't care less' attitudes to fly-tipping, throwing litter on the streets, etc., and also to deal with and fine landlords who do not engage with tenants on how to correctly dispose of domestic waste, esp as waste management services are overstretched. They need more support, too. S29 - This is a key area where enforcement and legal action has to be stepped up and more resources devoted to this critical activity, because these departments have had huge cuts which means that enforcement capability had suffered significantly, with severe impacts on our localities - e.g. landlords getting away with providing appalling living conditions, overcrowding, rapid turnovers tenants, exploitation of tenants - and downgrading the local street-scapes and garden-scapes and so on, which residents should be able to take pride in. Enforcement is vitally needed against a very wide range of ASB ranging from noise nuisance to aggressive behaviour, general disturbance and harassment, drugs and alcohol related ASB and so on.
S19 - Using space for other things in the morning
S19 Built around library users needs, no loss of jobs
S19 and S20. The aim is to keep all libraries open with hours that suit the local community. Offering other services might make longer hours possible.
S19 Variation of library opening hours. Libraies are a vital communit hub for all groups in society aand keeping them open is especially important for Haringey's diverse populaion
S19, S21 - we recognise the hard choices you have to make on library provision but agree that these changes are appropriate to ensure the libraries remain open

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

s1-s5
S1-S8 appear to be significant attempts to deal with the problems of the homeless and Haringey's responsibilities. S9-S18 will fund all important health initiatives, S16 could be effective if it would increase genuinely voluntary caring - though care will need to be taken to avoid people having to take on unbearable burdens. S22-S24 could deliver a reduced cost for digital and a better presence and income for Haringey. Care must be taken to not slash spend on niche contracts, such as payment for the Haringey Climate Forum web site, which could be assisted to deliver a better two way communication channel with the council. S25-S27 should improve roads and parking. It is suggested though that Haringey do not adopt the Camden model of charging for parking at EV public charging positions. S27 and S29 should improve the experience of living in Haringey for clean roads and decent homes respectively. S28 is long overdue, regarding low carbon measures to reduce carbon emissions at New River. Local en10ergy has proposed solar panels on the roof of the pavilion for many years and been blocked by Haringey departments, and could work collaboratively on solutions to heat pool water.
S20 - Self service tech is everywhere and very user friendly even for groups who find it more challenging. Good cost savings with little loss of quality or service.
S25 and 26. Higher parking charges and less main road parking would support the carbon zero targets nationally as well as locally. Enforcing restrictions is essential, especially any cameras on the yellow boxes at Manor House in particular as blocking these prevent buses and travellers moving relatively freely.
s26 and s27
S26 For the health and security of children.
S26 School Streets Enforcement - so people take them seriously
s26 school streets programme as this tackles polllution and increases health & safety for all pedestrians especially children
S26 S25 S27 - streets could be much, much cleaner.
S27 - environmental crime is a real inequality in the borough and enforcement support for those affected communities is vital to demonstrate that all residents have the same right to live without this blight. My question is how is something enhanced through reduced funding? S29 - Housing Act enforcement - this should be happening anyway, but I welcome a renewed focus on it particularly if penalties have not previously been levied or collected.
S27, more control on environmental crime. Haringey and specifically the area around south Alexandra palace are at the worst state in years with garbage nested everywhere, it's horrible and should be tackled
S29 so long as you mean increasing your enforcement and therefore generating money (this is not clear) if you mean saving money by cutting the costs of enforcement I do not support this proposal.
S5 - You should have done this a long time ago instead of rewarding the shoddy. Great idea. S4 - Same reasoning S1 - Good. Can you please do this for adults, no matter what their age??? Do not FORCE to contribute though. s27 - yes. Make the culprits that make our streets, parks and environment in general pay!
S7, S10, S15, S17: these all appear to be well reasoned proposals with real world data already available showing they will 'work' re improving citizens' lives/ease of accessing vital needs (eg social or health care) while also being a way to keep a close eye on funds spent
S8 - BECAUSE THE CURRENT SITUATION SOUNDS INEFFICENT S10 - SOUNDS MORE EFFICIENT

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

S12 - SOUNDS MORE EFFICIENT S17 - SOUNDS MORE EFFICIENT S21 - SOUNDS MORE EFFICIENT S27 - SOUNDS MORE EFFICIENT S28 - WE SHOULD BE REDUCING NUMBER OF CARS. PUBLIC TRANSPORT SHOULD BE PREFERRED OPTION FOR TRAVEL
S9 - Reducing fraud and favourism on bidding of contracts S6 - Help currently on crisis support is tangible S12 - Common sense and S15,S19 S17 - is always overlooked
School Streets program and enhancement of enforcement of environmental crime, variation of library opening hours (if well implemented)
School streets.
Scrap the proposal to cut library budgets
Seeking grants to support libraries
Self service sexual health and tele abortion care- it's a proven model that relieves pressure on GP / gynae and is cost effectove
shorter opening hours as long as it is open enough to continue good access for all Muswell Hill residents.
Since the government is not providing enough funding assuming this is actually the case. I think you need to continue consulting with the government but refuse point blank to implement any austerity measures
Social care
social care should be a priority but so should libraries especially such a well used resource
Social housing - funding needs to be actually looked at. My current care provider do not do what they are paid to do.
Stop funding Fusion Lifestyle. They have made an appalling job of running Tottenham pool, which has been shut for months.
Stop making money from CPZ and unfair parking cuts favouring wealthier roads (boyton road N8 lost half parking despite other wealthier roads having parking on both sides with same width issues)
Strengthening the supported living in Haringey. Keep your loved One with You.
Support continuing to keep Harringay and Stroud Green Library open
Support proposals around housing, temporary accommodation due to shortages in housing.
Supporting that there are no library closures however concerned about the security of the 24hours unstaffed libraries as there are high crimes in certain areas.
Taking control from Fusion because it could all be better maintained
That's good that no libraries are planned for closure but unfortunately cutting hours/staff and having self-service will end up affecting how the community can enjoy the space.
The budget documents are complicated and not easy to understand, but I support the general direction of the proposals and I understand the need for stringency in the light of cuts in grants from government.
The Council's proposals to set rents for new council lets at 5% above the formula, in line with the rent standards.
the document you have provided doesn't give enough information for me to say in most instances.
The end of physical newspapers

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

The following references are the proposals I support: Savings Proposals: S1 to S7, S10 to S12, S14 to S19, S22 to S29. Growth Proposals: I support them all. New Capital Proposals: I support them all. I feel that these proposals are either good, common sense income stream proposals for the council, or are related to providing necessary changes or services required to serve the people of Haringey.
The information is not presented in a user friendly way
The Library hours, it's a place for people to relax and read, it's good for the mental health and old and young people to get out of the house. It's not like we don't pay enough council tax. Maybe take some money from the refurbishment of the civic centre.
The library to be open 7 days a week with staff and computer library service for children and adults
The necessary increase to Council Tax and Adult Social Care Precept
The only one I partially agree with is free newspapers / magazines. Yes withdraw this service, I don't think will have much of a dent to community service.
The proposal to make savings on recruitment of a project officer to ensure 'more ready'! This is due to there having a provision for this already; Housing officers & case workers/
The proposed increase in Council Tax.
The reduce opening Hours of libraries To have libraries opening as they are let's people have more flexibility and proving services & skills
The removal of hard copies in libraries of newspapers
The social care ones
The what I don't support box is faulty so I will write here. I would support S1 but it is obvious it will cost. Where do savings come from? Unless you mean cutting this service which perhaps could move to NHS budget line. I support S15. S16 is not realistic. I think you really mean work with the voluntary sector to get them to do more saving council money. Great if you can. Unlikely realistic. I support S17 and S18. S21 seems reasonable as cuts are needed but S19 and S20 go to far as the elderly and children and homeless need libraries as spaces to work or rest. S2 and S3 look excellent if affordable but have costs of maintaining been included? Will an expensive contractor be used or do you have an in house team? I don't understand S22 and S23 and imagine many readers won't but usually restructures cost and don't save. Unless you have excellent lawyers which I think you have cut so don't have. S25 to raise parking costs is not realistic if you look at how families are struggling with cosy of living and your parking app that doesn't work. Investment in a better parking app could save you money I suspect. Bicycle infrastructure is lacking on my street the wait for the public locked bicycle shed is over a year. So we can't move to bicycles. Spend on this. It's cheap and could help. S24 is ok but you will have to be very careful what you advertise to who. No alcohol. No cosmetic surgery or Botox. No fake vitamin wellness. S26. This should absolutely not be in here as a revenue generating exercise. It was set up to keep kids safe and should be. The parking fines to parents and ot No gambling adverts etc or they will have negative public health impacts. S4 and S5 are admirable but won't current residents need to be moved on? Then they become homeless which costs you more to provide them temporary accommodation? Or do you mean prioritising families over vulnerable single people? We have accommodation for single people near me and many of them have undiagnosed mental health problems. It would cost not save to replace them with families. S6 and S7 rely on housing stock to move people to. In the private sector this is lacking- in part due to Haringey's HMO rules. I am an example of a small landlord who has chosen not to rent out my

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

property as the rules are too off-putting. There will be others like me. This reduces your stock. I let a friend stay there but without the complex rules there could have been accommodation for himmultiple people. S11 is not going to save money. Government pays less for cost than the NHS CHC which costs £200k plus for each 24/7 person needing care. The NHS can't afford that for all our elderly currently supported by councils. The tax for social care needs to occur urgently to fund social care. You most definitely should not be suggesting cuts to this. S12 is unrealistic. As someone managing direct care payments for a relative this is a full time job for me. Most vulnerable people in need of care don't have this. Direct payments make sense but the agencies you use will also charge more if you reduce the volume you use them for. Currently direct payments are much lower and can leave vulnerable people in debt for care. S13. Digital tools are great but need humans to support and solve and assist. They can't realistically be used in a stretched sector to cut staff. Admin staff are already inadequate in a council environment. Digital tools pass admin on to overstretched council workers who are on higher.pay grades so it looks like you cut costs but in the end you spend more. S14 is excellent and should be used to pay for public health needs like leisure in Haringey. This could be used to solve the issues with fusion but £292k is minimal. It's won't cover much at all. Repairs at park road cost millions last time for example and the council loaned fusion £30 million or so which was not paid back. This is really shocking mismanagement by the council. Sensible to spend more on council lawyers. This could save costs.
There are too many proposals to assess carefully. They seem broadly balanced in tough circumstances, but I cannot say much.
They all read as nonsense. The budget can't be saved by the leisure centres budgets being cut as they are already barely operational. The new transition service will of course cost not save. The maths and calculations are clearly nonsense and patronising to your voters in lack of detail. You could for example "stop free leisure for those in the borough with incomes over £38900". The government migration has made this a clear minimum expected income and it should not be cut for those on lower incomes as the health benefits of older people will be lost and instead transfer a cost to the NHS. I think you need to be very clear there is nothing left to cut. Cuts to legal costs mean the contractors are bleeding the council dry. This isn't about the cost of social care. It's about the cost of loans to "charities" like fusion of millions without proper contracts in place. The council needs to spend more to ensure it's funds are protected. More lawyer costs. More review of subcontracts. Housing is an embarrassment due to employing inadequate subcontractors who fail to deliver repairs and make residents suffer. Cutting costs by cheap contractors with poorly worded contracts is depleting council funds. I would be happy to pay 5% more council tax to council lawyers to be protecting our funds. But not to pay more for the council to further waste our tax paying money.
This is an extremely wooly consultation - where are the proposals
Those on providing social care and social housing
To keep librarys open and not cut budgets as librarys are an important part of the community
Too complicated.
Transition lodge, using smaller properties for families so they can live in proper homes, Conversion of council leases, Sexual health supported in pharmacies, (as this is based on research) more work with the voluntary sector as this gives opportunties for savings and joint working and reduced hours at the libraries in the afternoons - this would keep the libraries open when most needed.
Transition service - much needed support for younf people with SEND. Increase in temporary housing.
Transitions proposal for young people 14+ with access needs: ongoing support and signposting to progression routes are vital. Working with the voluntary sector to help support service delivery e.g Hornsey Vale Community Centre (instead of imposing crippling rents and maintenance liabilities) Why not review centres like

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

these that have no political advocates but quietly get on with the job? Investment in schools and in Pendarren in particular. This resource is fantastic for building young people's physical and social confidence. A more flexible approach to funding social and personal care including direct payments.
Uplift in public health grants etc
Use of one bed social housing as temporary accommodation for families with a baby or young children It is important to get people out of bed and breakfast accomodation. It is generally expensive and unsuitable.
Using grants to keep the library open
using smaller houses for families who are facing homelessness - sustainable, long term housing is so important for them
Variation of opening hours - no smart cars
Very glad no Haringey libraries are 'planned for closure' However I am concerned about the proposed changes to libraries.
We need more litter pickers, daily now or even more form as Tottenham Lane and surrounding roads is always full of litter. We need more library investment and better hours. Do not reduce the opening hours.
We need the libraries
We support everything in this library * Piano Classes * Play Groups * Book Clubs * Reading Sessions I support all as you say our Haringey and our future
While I am pleased that there are no planned closures of libraries the reduction in opening hours concerns me
Whilst I understand that the funding shortfall is caused by central government and can see that the council is doing what it can, the library cuts are a huge mistake.
Whilst relieved that Haringey are not planning library closures I am very concerned about the proposals to significantly reduce opening hours in smaller libraries and eventually make them into self service hubs.
Wood green regeneration but the money into this regeneration is far lower than anything else and almost 4 times lower than tottenham
Working with private secter/charities Stopping newspaper/magazine paper copies
Your budget proposal is almost impossible to understand and read. You are obsfucating via poor communication and it's super disappointing! Also this form is almost impossible to write anything of substance on. Okay proposals that are good: targeting flytipping and also helping to better support homeless/unhoused people/families.
- Reducing weekday morning opening hours is a practical way to keep all libraries open
- uses of one/two Beds as social housing - self service for sexual health
Access to grants - futureproofing and building longevity of communities preventative rather then reactive spending
Accessing Gov Grants

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

Additional support to Ally Pally - great local amenity close to my home. retention of all libraries - these are essential to people. Increase in temporary housing for homeless families
Coombs Croft Library N17
E3, 35 36, 37,39,E11, G12 Legal and apprenticeships help offer better opportunities to less privileged residents. As a user of Tottenham Green, L/Centre any change is improvement
Finding more efficient ways of working with providers in integrated public health for children.
G12 STROUD GREEN is our community hub!!!
G12, G11 Important services that shouldn't be short of cash.
G12/G11
GRANTS
Haringey Libraries because it gives people the potential to learn and other common causes of issues further develop and achievement
Housing Education
I don't support any new proposal my kids love it here and so do i
I propose that library stops open in the community of Tottenham because it helps the people to meet and interact, engage in social activities especially if there are classes for children.
I support later closing times for libraries in evenings. Strengthening supported living. Available accommodation for those in temp housing.
I support proposal S2 as this will help families facing homelessness, S6 also seems sensible to reduce time spent in temporary accommodation. S11 is a good idea to maximise healthcare support from central government S24 - increasing advertising is a good idea to get more income S26 - I support continuing the School Streets programme.
I support proposals to benefit homeless families & towards supported living. Ending mag and newspapers in libraries is fine with me.
I SUPPORT THAT NO LIBRARIES WILL CLOSE BUT THIS IS STRANGLING THEM SO THAT THEY WITHER.
I support the 3% rise in Council Tax on the whole it's value for money - especially compared to overall tax environment
I will support 1.5% increase of Council tax not 2.99% Some Council Tax payers are wrongly accessed financially. Some elderly people still living with their partners are financially accessed together. When their joint income is over £16000 per year. They get no benefit.
Identifying a new site for modular lodge provision. Need to cut the cost of nightly paid accommodation and private bed + breakfast.
-Identifying suitable accommodations for those in temporary housing. -Use of one bed social housing as temporary accommodations for families with a baby or young children. -Use of two bed social housing as temporary accommodation for families.
Im very relieved that no libraries are planned for closure but honestly cutting standards, cutting hours and staff and spending on CCTV etc will end up being the same cost.
In general the proposals seem sensible and unavoidable but I'm deeply saddened by reduction in library staff S19, S20. I have to queue at the desk now to get simple requests answered. We are so blessed having good libraries now. W are the envy of other London residents in Barnet etc I would fight tooth and nail to preserve staffing & opening hours in our libraries, while earning some money by small rental on meeting rooms, more exercise classes in libraries, in evening etc etc.
In house social housing could be good

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

Increase in £ for adult social care because its a growing urgent need. Focus on SEND, housing and environmental crime. and support for mental health services - All urgent
Increased funding for adult and children's social care and capital investment in primary and secondary schools, pendarren house, Parks and Alexandra Palace
Inhousing leisure centres - as long as they are better run + more affordable to all Greater school investment
Investment into public infrastructure including libraries
Investments in Children's services and inflation increases in adults social care costs because it's important that we look after the vulnerable in our community.
Keeping libraries open as it will benefit people in need, keep activities as it helps the brain active to read often. Children and youth activites happen here at libraries
Keeping the library open and free at the point of use. Staff rather than volunteers.
Libraries as it's local and working creative groups which gives a chance to meet other people and learn new skills. e.g. knitting, sewing, piano lessons
New River sports centre operating costs to help support customer base equipment
New Translation service School Streets Programme
No cuts please!
None
None of the proposals.
None, as a speech and language therapist i have seen the impact of services closing from COVID. Cutting library services is short sighted and will be to the detriment of future of generations causing other costs to increase.
None, because the money Haringey is making cannot be seeing on Residents. We have to many unrepair works pending, no staff or contractors to do the jobs.
None. The allocation of funding is wrong
Other organisation will use space in the library. This will generate funds - users will have to swipe in with their cards.
Our needs for a human beings, mental and physical health - clean environment - interaction with other human beings, exercise, mental stimuli, comfort/warmth, food , shelter, open spaces, parks, walkways, eg disused railway line, seating areas, planting trees/beds clean air, e.g. good transport system - buses to cut down on private cars
PROPOSAL'S SUPPORT IS OUTLINED IN MORE DEPTH ONLINE
Review subsidy of New River Sports Centre cancel Haringey Magazine - its a waste of money.
S1 New Transition Service, - promotes independence in SEND youth S3 Use of two bed social housing as temporary accommodation
S1 New Transitions service - all positive things S13/S14/S21
S1, S4, S5, S6, S9, S10, S11
S13 S26
s15, s18, s20, s21, s26, s27, s29, G7, s1, s2, S4, S5
s15/s18 Because i have family and my daily life community centre and library help me a lot. With my baby i can come to the library anytime.
S17 - Bringing services in house might be the best way to serve the community
s17, s15 mental health services need as much as support as possible, hopefully bringing the services in house will help and be cost effective supported living to competent for those in need and their families.
S18 Redirect funding back to Adult Social Care

Appendix 1

Q1 Which proposals do you support and why?

S19 - Is sensible, based on demand and demographics. Could be a better use of resources available S12 S15
S19 - Makes sense to close in mornings and share with other services S20 - Already happens at Coombs Croft
S19 - Using space for other things in the morning
s1-s5
S20 - Self service tech is everywhere and very user friendly even for groups who find it more challenging. Good cost savings with little loss of quality or service.
s26 and s27
S26 For the health and security of children.
S26 S25 S27 - streets could be much, much cleaner.
S9 - Reducing fraud and favourism on bidding of contracts S6 - Help currently on crisis support is tangible S12 - Common sense and S15,S19 S17 - is always overlooked
Since the government is not providing enough funding assuming this is actually the case. I think you need to continue consulting with the government but refuse point blank to implement any austerity measures
Strengthening the supported living in Haringey. Keep your loved One with You.
Support continuing to keep Harringay and Stroud Green Library open
That's good that no libraries are planned for closure but unfortunately cutting hours/staff and having self-service will end up affecting how the community can enjoy the space.
The library to be open 7 days a week with staff and computer library service for children and adults
The only one I partially agree with is free newspapers / magazines. Yes withdraw this service, I don't think will have much of a dent to community service.
The proposal to make savings on recruitment of a project officer to ensure 'more ready'! This is due to there having a provision for this already; Housing officers & case workers/
The reduce opening Hours of libraries To have libraries opening as they are let's people have more flexibility and proving services & skills
Uplift in public health grants etc
Using grants to keep the library open
Variation of opening hours - no smart cars
We support everything in this library * Piano Classes * Play Groups * Book Clubs * Reading Sessions I support all as you say our Haringey and our future