


Project Information

Project Name:	Wiley Dr/Roanoke River Greenway Low Water Bridge	Federal Project#:	STP-5128(546)
Project Number:	U000-128-509, B649, P101, R201	Project Type:	Construction
UPC:	122898	Charge Number:	UPC 122898; ACT(600) SERIES
Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):			
Route Number:	9999	Route Type:	Urban
Project Limit--From:	Wiley Dr Bridge MP 0.728	To:	Wiley Dr Bridge MP 0.902
IPM Project Description:	Wiley Dr/Roanoke River Greenway Low Water Bridge		
Additional Project Description:	The proposed project involves the replacement of the Wiley Drive low water bridge (Structure No. 31962) carrying the Roanoke River Greenway over the Roanoke River. The proposed bridge will be constructed in the same location as the existing bridge. The project is a "bridge only" replacement, meaning no increase to the existing roadway capacity is included in the scope of the project.		
Purpose And Need:	The purpose of the proposed project is to redesign and replace the Wiley Drive low water bridge to reduce roadway flooding. Currently, the bridge overtops 5-6 times a year and accumulates significant drift and debris. The project is needed to ensure safe, continuous pedestrian and vehicle travel along the Roanoke River Greenway and to reduce maintenance efforts and costs associated with frequent flooding. Additionally, the bridge replacement will result in enhanced fish passage.		
District:	City/County:	Residency:	
Salem	Roanoke (City)	Salem	

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

23 CFR 771.117

Description of PCE Category:

Bridge rehabilitation, reconstruction, or replacement or the construction of grade separation to replace existing at-grade railroad crossings, if the actions meet the constraints in paragraph (e) of this section.

PCE c28

UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

IMPACTS:

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

Finding: *Review of project plans.*

Notes: *30% Preliminary Design Plans, October 2025.*

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

Finding: *Review of project plans.*

Notes: *30% Preliminary Design Plans, October 2025.*

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

Finding: *Review of project plans indicates there is no capacity expansion*

Notes: *30% Preliminary Design Plans, October 2025.*

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

Finding: *The project will not result in any road closures or detours constituting a major traffic disruption.*

Notes:

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

Finding: *Coordination with DHR resulted in a No Adverse Effect Determination.*

Date of

Finding: *01/27/2026*

Notes: *Cultural Resources Summary Report, dated 01/27/2026.*

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

Finding: *FHWA made a de minimis impact finding.*

Date of

Finding: *01/06/2026*

Notes: *The Official with Jurisdiction provided written concurrence with the de minimis impact determination for the Roanoke River Greenway on 12/01/2025. FHWA also provided concurrence with the determination on 01/06/2026 and no further Section 4(f) evaluation is required.*

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

Finding: *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.*

Notes:

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

Finding: *The project will require a water quality permit (details in notes).*

Date of

Finding: *08/21/2025*

Notes: *Permit Determination Form, dated 02/04/2026.*

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

Finding: *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.*

Notes: *USCG confirmed in a letter dated September 25, 2025.*

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *An LD-293 was completed on 11/17/2025 certifying the project will meet the no rise condition.*

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

Finding: *(LAP ONLY) Review by the Locality PM and per the VDOT noise manual.*

Notes:

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

Finding: *The project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat.*

Date of

Finding: *01/07/2026*

Notes: *Threatened and Endangered Species Clearance Form, dated 02/05/2026.*

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

Finding: *(LAP ONLY) EQ-121.*

Notes: *Hazardous Materials Summary Report, dated 10/23/2025.*

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

Finding: *This project is included in a STIP Grouping.*

Notes:

19. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

Simpson, Robin C

02/06/2026

Environmental Manager, CE Determination

Date